Context-dependent encoding in the auditory brainstem suberves enhanced speech-in-noise perception in musicians

A. Parbery-Clark, D.L. Strait, N. Kraus

Auditory Neuroscience Laboratory, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA
Department of Communication Sciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA
Institute for Neuroscience, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA
Department of Neurobiology and Physiology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA
Department of Otolaryngology, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

A B S T R A C T

Musical training strengthens speech perception in the presence of background noise. Given that the ability to make use of speech sound regularities, as pitch, underlies perceptual acuity in challenging listening environments, we asked whether musicians' enhanced speech-in-noise perception is facilitated by increased neural sensitivity to acoustic regularities. To this aim we examined subcortical encoding of the same speech syllable presented in predictable and variable conditions and speech-in-noise perception in 31 musicians and nonmusicians. We anticipated that musicians would demonstrate greater neural enhancement of speech presented in the predictable compared to the variable condition than nonmusicians. Accordingly, musicians demonstrated more robust neural encoding of the fundamental frequency (i.e., pitch) of speech presented in the predictable relative to the variable condition than nonmusicians. The degree of neural enhancement observed to predictable speech correlated with subjects' musical practice histories as well as with their speech-in-noise perceptual abilities. Taken together, our findings suggest that subcortical sensitivity to speech regularities is shaped by musical training and may contribute to musicians' enhanced speech-in-noise perception.
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listener's ability to perceive them as two distinct auditory objects, resulting in better perception of their acoustic content (Assmann & Summerfield, 1987; Bird & Darwin, 1998; Broxk & Nooteboom, 1982; Culling & Darwin, 1993; Drulman & Bronkhorst, 2004). Indeed, these results highlight the important role that voice pitch plays for speech-in-noise perception. Recently, the relationship between F0 and speech-in-noise perception was extended to neurobiological processes whereby the robustness of an individual’s subcortical encoding of the F0 correlates with speech-in-noise perceptual ability (Anderson, Skoe, Chandrasekaran, Zecker, & Kraus, 2010; Song, Skoe, Banai, & Kraus, 2010).

Music, like language, is a highly structured system that relies on the grouping of a finite number of sounds (notes) according to specific rules. Given that musical skill requires the rapid online detection of sound regularities, it is not surprising that musicians demonstrate advantages for detecting pitch patterns (Brattico, Näätänen, & Tervaniemi, 2001; van Zuijen, Sussman, Winkler, Näätänen, & Tervaniemi, 2004; van Zuijen, Sussman, Winkler, Näätänen, & Tervaniemi, 2005). Musicians are also more adept at using rhythmic and tonal regularities to extract rhythmic hierarchies (Drake, Jones, & Baruch, 2000), to detect incongruous melodic endings (Besson & Faïta, 1995; Besson, Faïta, & Requin, 1994), and to structure temporal (Tervaniemi, Ilvonen, Karma, Alho, & Näätänen, 1997) and tonal sequences (van Zuijen et al., 2004). Evidence suggests that musicians’ sensitivity to acoustic regularities extends to the domain of language, in which musicians are more sensitive to deviations in speech patterns than nonmusicians (Jentschke & Koelsch, 2005; Magne, Schön, & Besson, 2006; Nikjeh, Lister, & Frisch, 2009; Schon, Magne, & Besson, 2004; Tervaniemi et al., 2008). To date, this work has only focused on perceptual abilities and cortical processes. It remains to be determined whether the musician advantage for detecting sound patterns and acoustic regularities extends to other aspects of neural processing in the auditory pathway—namely, the auditory brainstem.

The auditory brainstem response (ABR) provides a noninvasive method to study subcortical sensitivity to acoustic regularities. While the ABR represents acoustic features of the evoking stimulus with considerable fidelity (Galbraith, Arbagey, Branski, Comerci, & Rector, 1995; Skoe & Kraus, 2010a), it is also modulated by stimulus predictability and the behavioural relevance of the evoking sound. Enhanced subcortical responses to meaningful acoustic features have been noted to occur on multiple time scales, such as over the course of a 90-min recording session (Skoe & Kraus, 2010b), with short-term auditory training (Russo, Nicol, Zecker, Hayes, & Kraus, 2005; Song, Skoe, Wong, & Kraus, 2008), and as a function of life-long musical or linguistic experience (Bidelman, Gandour, & Krishnan, 2011; Kraus & Chandrasekaran, 2010; Krishnan & Gandour, 2009; Krishnan, Xu, Gandour, & Cariani, 2005; Lee, Skoe, Kraus, & Ashley, 2009; Parbery-Clark, Skoe, & Kraus, 2009; Strait, Kraus, Skoe, & Ashley, 2009; Wong, Skoe, Russo, Dees, & Kraus, 2007). As such, the auditory brainstem offers a unique window through which we can explore the relative impacts of stimulus predictability and musical expertise on auditory processing and their influences on speech-in-noise perception.

Hearing in noise depends on the auditory system’s ability to segregate a target signal from competing input. The pitch cues of a person’s voice provide not only a means to distinguish between the target and the noise, but they also act as a unifying percept that enables objects with the same pitch to be grouped into a single acoustic stream. In fact, the ability to hear in noise relates with the magnitude of pitch, or F0, encoding (Anderson et al., 2010; Song et al., 2010). Given this, we were surprised to find that musicians, who demonstrate a heightened ability to hear in noise, do not demonstrate enhanced subcortical F0 encoding for speech in noise compared to nonmusicians (Parbery-Clark, Skoe, & Kraus, 2009). While musicians’ enhanced speech-in-noise percep-
earphones (ER-3; Etymotic Research, Elk Grove Village, IL) at a rate of 3.95 kHz. Contact impedance was 2 kΩ or less across all electrodes. Each condition lasted ∼26 ± 2 min. To facilitate a wakeful yet relaxed state for the recording session, participants watched a silent, captioned movie of their choice. Artifact rejection was monitored during the recording session and maintained at <10% for all participants.

Responses were band-pass filtered offline from 700 to 2000 Hz (12 dB roll-off, zero phase-shift) using NeuroScan Edit 4.3 to maximize the contribution of the auditory brainstem nuclei in the recording and to reduce the inclusion of low-frequency cortical activity (Akhour et al., 2008; Chandrasekaran & Kraus, 2010). Responses were epoched from −40 to 213 ms (stimulus onset occurring at 0 ms) and events with amplitudes beyond ±35 μV were rejected as artifact. To compare the neural response to /da/ across the two conditions, responses were trial matched (Fig. 1). This was achieved by ranking the presentation of the /da/ in the variable condition to its presentation number to create a presentation event template from which the responses to the /da/ at the same location in the predictable condition could be selected. The individual trials selected from each condition were then summed to create trial-matched averages. While this method results in a large number of trials being disregarded in the predictable condition, trial-matching between the two conditions facilitates the comparison of neural responses to the same stimulus recorded in two conditions without the potential confound of presentation order or differing numbers of trials. The final response average for each condition consisted of 700 artifact-free neural responses to /da/.

1.5. Speech perception in noise

Speech perception in noise was measured using the Hearing in Noise Test (HINT, Bio-logic Systems Corp; Mundelein, IL) (Nilsson, Soli, & Sullivan, 1994). During this test participants repeat short, semantically and syntactically simple sentences (e.g., she stood near the window) taken from the Bamford–Kowal–Bench corpus of male-produced sentences (Bench, Kowal, & Bamford, 1979). These sentences are presented in free-field within speech-shaped background noise from a loudspeaker located one meter at a 0° azimuth from the participant. The noise presentation level is fixed at 65 dB SPL and the program automatically adjusts perceptual difficulty by increasing or decreasing the intensity level of the target sentences until the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) threshold is determined. This SNR threshold is defined as the dB level difference between the speech and the noise at which 50% of sentences are correctly repeated. A lower SNR indicates better performance.

1.6. Statistical analyses

Group differences in the neural encoding of the /f0/ and its second through fifth harmonics (H2–H5) in the predictable and variable conditions were explored with a repeated measure ANOVA. To quantify relationships among stimulus predictability, speech-in-noise perception and musicians' musical practice histories, Pearson and Spearman correlations were employed as appropriate (SPSS, Chicago, IL). All results reflect two-tailed significance values.

2. Results

Consistent with previous results, musicians demonstrated better perception of speech in noise than nonmusicians (F(1,29) = 18.04, p < 0.005) (Parbery-Clark, Skoe, Lam et al., 2009). Musicians also showed greater subcortical enhancement of the /f0/ presented in the predictable compared to the variable condition than nonmusicians (Fig. 2). A RMANOVA (condition × group)
demonstrated a significant interaction between stimulus condition and musician/nonmusician groups for the $F_0$ ($F(1,29) = 7.288$, $p = 0.01$) but not for its harmonics ($H_2$-$H_5$, all $p > 0.5$). We did not observe a main effect of musical training on $F_0$ encoding in that musicians did not demonstrate enhanced $F_0$ encoding relative to nonmusicians within either the predictable or the variable conditions (predictable: $F(1,30) = 0.659$, $p = 0.423$; variable: $F(1,30) = 0.375$, $p = 0.545$). Post hoc, within-group, paired samples t-tests, however, revealed that musicians had a greater representation of the $F_0$ in the predictable relative to the variable condition ($t(15) = -2.336$, $p = 0.034$), whereas nonmusicians did not ($t(14) = 1.717$, $p = 0.11$) (Fig. 2).

2.1. Subcortical enhancement of predictable speech relates with speech-in-noise perception

Across all subjects, the degree of enhancement in auditory brainstem responses to the $F_0$ between the two conditions correlated with speech-in-noise (SIN) perception, with better SIN perception relating to a greater $F_0$ enhancement in the predictable compared to the variable condition ($r = -0.533$, $p = 0.002$). Within-group analyses revealed that this relationship was mainly driven by the musicians ($r = -0.567$, $p = 0.02$) and was not significant among nonmusicians only ($r = -0.348$, $p = 0.204$) (Fig. 3). No other measure of spectral magnitude (i.e., $H_2$-$H_5$) between the predictable and variable conditions related with SIN perception across all subjects or within each group separately ($p > 0.2$).

2.2. Subcortical enhancement of predictable speech relates with music experience

We observed a positive correlation between years of musical experience and the extent of $F_0$ enhancement within musicians, with more years of practice relating to a greater enhancement of the $F_0$ in the predictable relative to the variable condition (Fig. 4; $r = 0.672$, $p = 0.004$).

3. Discussion

Here, we demonstrate the facilitation of neural encoding according to regularities in an ongoing speech stream. In musicians, the representation of voice pitch in the auditory brainstem response is enhanced to predictably occurring speech relative to a variable, or unpredictable, context. This result highlights the potential role that musical training plays in improving the detection of acoustic regularities. Furthermore, the extent of subcortical enhancement to predictably occurring speech in musicians relates to the ability to hear speech in background noise. These findings demonstrate a neural advantage for detecting regularities within an ongoing speech stream in musicians, underscoring their enhanced ability to track the voice of a target speaker in background noise.

3.1. Musical experience tunes neural sensitivity to acoustic regularities

Music, like language, is highly patterned, with both music and language demonstrating acoustic organization that unfolds over time. Within a musical context, repetition and regularity play fundamental roles, contributing to the perception of rhythm, meter (Hannon, Snyder, Errola, & Krumhansl, 2004; Large & Jones, 1999).
and tonality (Krumhansl, 1985, 1990). Given the common use of sound patterns in music and that musicians are trained to detect them, it is not surprising that musicians are more adept at distinguishing pitch (Brattico et al., 2001; van Zuijen et al., 2004), rhythmic (van Zuijen et al., 2005) and melodic (Fujioka, Trainor, Ross, Kakigi, & Pantev, 2004; Fujioka, Trainor, Ross, Kakigi, & Pantev, 2005) patterns. In addition, musicians are more sensitive to harmonic relationships between chords, with musicians demonstrating greater effects of harmonic expectancy than nonmusicians (Koelsch, Jentschke, Sammler, & Mietzsch, 2007).

In this study, we show that musicians’ sensitivity to sound patterns transfers to the speech domain, with musicians demonstrating a greater representation of pitch cues in a predictable than a variable context relative to nonmusicians. Furthermore, we provide evidence that this skill is differentiated within the musician group in that musicians with more years of musical training show greater sensitivity to stimulus regularities. This correlation between the degree of subcortical sensitivity to stimulus regularities and years of musical practice provides compelling evidence that our results may be induced, at least in part, by training rather than being driven solely by genetic factors. Additional support for this premise comes from Moreno et al. (2009), who conducted a longitudinal study with random assignment of nonmusician children to music or painting training. Results showed that those children who received musical training demonstrated enhanced pitch processing for both music and speech, again highlighting the role of musical training, rather than genetic predispositions alone, in engendering musicians’ enhanced processing of pitch. As such, our results contribute to a growing literature demonstrating a link between musicians’ musical practice histories (Margulis, Msna, Uppunda, Parrish, & Wong, 2009; Pantev, Roberts, Schulz, Engeli, & Ross, 2001; Strait, Chan, Ashley, & Kraus, in press) and the number of years of musical practice or age of onset of musical training with the extent of neural enhancement observed (Gaser & Schlaug, 2003; Hutchinson, Lee, Gaab, & Schlaug, 2003; Lee et al., 2009; Musacchia, Sams, Skoe, & Kraus, 2007; Strait & Kraus, 2011; Strait et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2007).

3.2. Neural enhancement to acoustic regularities: the role of the descending auditory system

The brain is an active, adaptive system that generates predictions about the environment (Engel, Fries, & Singer, 2001; Friston, 2005; Winkler et al., 2009). Neural representation of the external world is not context-invariant; rather, experience (short- and long-term) and immediate environmental conditions modulate neural function. Out of a constant barrage of sensory information, cortical centers are thought to continually predict which features are behaviourally relevant and fine-tune the neural encoding of these features accordingly, thus facilitating their perception (Ahissar & Hochstein, 2004; Ahissar, Nahum, Nelken, & Hochstein, 2009; Bajo, Nodal, Moore, & King, 2010; Engel et al., 2001; Fritz, Elhilali, & Shamma, 2005; Tzounopoulos & Kraus, 2009). One way this may be accomplished is through the generation of predictions in cortical centers that are, in turn, used to bias neural encoding occurring earlier in the auditory processing stream. This process improves the representation of salient or predictable acoustic features. The modification of subcortical response properties is thought to occur via a series of reciprocal feedback loops originating in the cortex and terminating at subcortical nuclei (Suga & Ma, 2003). The descending branches of the auditory system modify subcortical responses by sharpening neural tuning and increasing response magnitudes for frequently occurring signals (Gao & Suga, 1998; Yan & Suga, 1998) or the most behaviourally relevant auditory information while suppressing irrelevant input (Gao & Suga, 1998; Luo, Wang, Kashani, & Yan, 2008).

Continually generated predictions also serve another important role: they enable the formation of templates that can be used to facilitate interpretation of incoming information. When the incoming stimulus matches the internally generated pattern, sensory processing can be accomplished more rapidly (i.e., because it was expected) (Haenschel, Vernon, Diederik, Gruzelier, & Baldeweg, 2005). If, on the other hand, the incoming stimulus does not match the internally generated pattern, it is flagged as a violation of the predicted sound sequence. When this occurs, the auditory system must determine whether to modulate the current expectation or to treat the violation as a unique event (Näätänen & Winkler, 1999; Winkler, Karmos, & Näätänen, 1996). Our results indicate that musicians benefit from regularity to a greater extent than nonmusicians. This result highlights the potential presence of strengthened top-down control mechanisms in musicians, as has been previously proposed (Kraus & Chandrasekaran, 2010; Strait et al., in press; Strait, Kraus, Parbery-Clark, & Ashley, 2010; Tervaniemi et al., 2009), that enable musicians to tailor subcortical structures for the maximal extraction and neural encoding of important acoustic information. An alternate but not mutually exclusive mechanism is that musical training may bolster stimulus-driven, bottom-up statistical learning. Increased modulation of subcortical processes according to statistical properties of incoming sounds can stem from top-down and/or bottom-up mechanisms and would result in an enhancement of regularities within a speech stream. While both of these mechanisms may be at play, the present data cannot disambiguate their relative contributions.

3.3. Subcortical sensitivity to acoustic regularities improves SIN perception

A key component of SIN perception is the ability to distinguish a target voice from background noise. The pitch of a target voice is a salient cue that contributes to the extraction of a voice from its surrounding acoustic environment (Assmann & Summerfield, 1987; Bird & Darwin, 1998; Broks & Nooteboom, 1982; Culling & Darwin, 1993; Druilman & Bronkhorst, 2004). Because the pitch of a person’s voice is relatively constant and changes smoothly over time (Darwin, 2005), pitch cues are typically easy to follow. Pitch can also provide a means to group sequential sounds into an acoustic stream, contributing to object formation that facilitates speech perception in noise. Still, how does this translate into a neural code? One idea is that the auditory system enhances its representation of the target stimulus’ spectral cues to positively contribute to object formation (Chandrasekaran et al., 2009; Ulanoysvky, Las, & Nelken, 2003). Here, we document a musician advantage for neurally representing the F0, an acoustic correlate of pitch, in a predictable context and show that the extent of this repetition-induced enhancement relates to SIN perceptual ability. These findings highlight the possibility that musicians’ abilities to detect and lock on to regularities within an auditory environment contribute to their enhanced ability to perceive speech in noise.

3.4. Future directions

In this study, we explored the effect of stimulus regularity on the neural processing of an isolated speech sound. While we interpret our results as indicative of a greater impact of stimulus regularity on the neural encoding of speech in musicians relative to nonmusicians, future work using additional speech sounds and words will aid in the generalization of these findings. It will also be important to determine whether these effects extend to other acoustic domains, such as to music. Given that musicians demonstrate enhancements in the neural processing of pitch in both speech and music (Bidelman et al., 2011; Magne et al., 2006; Moreno et al., 2009; Musacchia et al., 2007), exploration of the impact of stimulus
regularity on the neural encoding of speech and music may provide a powerful approach for elucidating the extent to which cross-domain neural functions undergird music and language processing.

While the detection of acoustic regularities is an important aspect of auditory scene analysis, the ability to detect unexpected novel stimuli is also necessary for an individual to respond to behaviourally relevant changes in the environment. In addition to tracking sensory regularities, the brain also has the capacity to act as a novelty detector (e.g., the mismatch negativity response, or MMN (Näätänen, Gaillard, & Mänty salo, 1978)). We propose that regularity and novelty detection within an ongoing sound stream may not be distinct processes; rather, they reflect different faces of the same coin. For example, a sound can only be deemed novel if it violates predictions generated by identified regularities. In this study, we document enhanced neural encoding of a predictably relative to a variably presented speech sound. Future work employing a more diverse range of speech sound probabilities is needed to more explicitly define the effect of statistical regularity on subcortical response properties. Further work might also vary the behavioural relevance of eliciting stimuli (e.g., behaviourally relevant regularities in language versus irrelevant regularities occurring in a steady hum of traffic, enabling it to be easily ignored). This may permit the definition of how behavioural relevance alters the neural encoding of acoustic regularities.

Our documentation of subcortical enhancement to a repeated and, hence, predictable speech sound might appear at odds with the well-documented decrease in cortical evoked responses in which stimulus repetition leads to reduced neural response magnitudes (i.e., repetition suppression). Despite its widespread acknowledgement, the neural mechanisms underlying repetition suppression remain debated. Although some have proposed decreased neuronal activity with stimulus repetition, repetition may also engender a more precise neural representation of the evoking stimulus, facilitating certain aspects of the neural response while inhibiting others (e.g., more precise inhibitory sidebands surrounding a facilitated response to the physical dimensions of the stimulus) (Grill-Spector, Henson, & Martin, 2006). Indeed, stimulus repetition does not always lead to reduced cortical evoked response magnitudes; there are certain circumstances in which repetition (Loveless, Hari, Hämäläinen, & Tiihonen, 1989; Loveless, Levänen, Jouy smäki, Sams, & Hari, 1996; Wang, Mouraux, Liang, & Iannetti, 2008) and stimulus predictability (Bendixen et al., 2009) result in greater cortical magnitudes. Subcortical responses also demonstrate this duality of enhancement (Chandrasekaran et al., 2009; Dean et al., 2005; Skoe & Kraus, 2010b) or attenuation (Anderson, Christianson, & Linden, 2009; Malmierca et al., 2009; Perez-Gonzalez et al., 2005) to repeated or predictable sounds. Although our results indicate enhanced subcortical responses with stimulus repetition, especially in musicians, whether this corresponds with cortical response suppression or augmentation is undetermined. Future work employing this experimental paradigm with the concurrent recording of subcortical and cortical responses may advance our understanding of the effect of repetition and predictability on the auditory system as a whole.

4. Conclusions

In order to deal with the enormous amount of sensory information received on a moment-to-moment basis, the brain must decide which elements are most relevant to the task in hand. To accomplish this goal, the nervous system continually generates predictions based on previous experiences to more efficiently extract the most relevant cues from the complex sensory environment. This adaptability is keenly displayed while attempting to hear in noise, when the auditory system's goal is to segregate the target voice from the background noise. Pitch provides a good candidate for facilitating this process, as it can aid in distinguishing a specific voice from background noise. Here, we demonstrate that musicians are more sensitive to regularities within an ongoing speech stream, resulting in a greater neural representation of the fundamental frequency in a predictable compared to a variable context. In musicians, this is known as neural sensitivity to speech in predictable context relates to SIN perception, thereby offering a neural basis for musicians' behavioural advantage for hearing in noise. Furthermore, the extent of musical training relates to the degree of predictability-induced neural enhancement, suggesting that this is a malleable process that can be shaped by experience.
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